

The Evolution of Consciousness, the Emergence of Morality, and the Definition of Good and Evil

A Meta-Ethical Analysis Grounded in Natural Evolutionary Logic

Yongjie Guo

13 May 2026

Abstract

This paper develops a meta-ethical analysis grounded entirely in the logic of natural selection, without recourse to cultural tradition, religious authority, or human convention. The central argument is that morality is not a normative construct invented by human societies, but rather an objective behavioral strategy selected for by natural processes in the management of consciousness-to-consciousness relations. Accordingly, the criteria for distinguishing good from evil are not contingent on any human framework, but are determined by the energetic sustainability of relations between living beings. Through a strategic analysis of observable life forms, five types of consciousness-to-consciousness relations are identified, from which a natural definition of morality is derived: to treat the consciousness of another as an equal consciousness, engaging in mutual symbiosis. On this basis, good is defined as behavior that promotes symbiotic surplus ($1+1 \geq 2$), and evil is defined as behavior that reduces the other consciousness to an object or resource for unilateral consumption or elimination ($1-1=0$).

Keywords: *evolution of consciousness; natural origin of morality; definition of good and evil; symbiotic strategy; meta-ethics*

1. Scope and Method

This paper does not address the question of how life originates. Its point of departure is life as an already-existing fact. The inquiry proceeds across three levels: first, how consciousness arises from the survival requirements of living beings and develops successive layers of complexity through interaction with other conscious entities; second, the logical conditions and evolutionary mechanisms by which morality emerges as a natural phenomenon; and third, an objective definition of good and evil that is independent of any human construction.

The method is naturalistic, but it departs in a fundamental respect from conventional evolutionary ethics. Evolutionary ethics typically seeks evolutionary explanations within the prior framework of human moral experience. This paper argues instead that the referent of morality existed objectively in nature before the appearance of human beings, and that human language merely names a phenomenon that was already there. The authority to define morality therefore lies not in patterns of linguistic usage, but in natural origins.

2. The Definition and Origin of Consciousness

For the purposes of this analysis, consciousness is defined as the capacity for identification and perception that a living being develops in order to maintain its own existence, whose core function is to distinguish self from non-self. This is a functional definition rather than a phenomenological one. It deliberately sets aside the contested question of subjective experience, allowing the analysis to proceed at the level of observable behavior.

The logic of consciousness arising is as follows. The continuation of life depends on a sustained input of energy: food, light, minerals, and so on. To obtain energy, a living being must be capable of identifying energy sources, that is, of perceiving and distinguishing between what can be used and the self. This capacity for identification constitutes the primary form of consciousness, addressing what may be called the consciousness-object relation. In the symbolic notation used throughout this paper, 1 denotes consciousness and 0 denotes object.

When a living being encounters another living being, however, a new relational dimension emerges: how to manage the consciousness-to-consciousness relation. The appearance of this problem marks a qualitative advance in the complexity of consciousness, and constitutes the logical precondition for the emergence of morality.

3. Five Strategic Types of Consciousness-to-Consciousness Relations

Drawing on observation of existing life forms, five strategic types of consciousness-to-consciousness relations can be identified. These five types form an axis of increasing complexity of consciousness, defined by two core variables: the degree to which the other is recognized as a consciousness, and the degree to which the agent is capable of controlling its own behavior.

3.1 *Undifferentiated Consumption: The Case of Viruses*

A virus cannot distinguish between another consciousness and an object; it applies an undifferentiated consumption strategy to any entity it encounters. More critically, a virus entirely lacks the capacity to control its own behavior. It replicates without limit until the host dies, at which point the destruction of the host simultaneously destroys the virus's own substrate. The logical expression of this strategy is: 1 against 0 (consciousness against object), with the outcome tending toward mutual annihilation.

3.2 *Bounded Consumption: The Case of Predation*

A predator can recognize the other as a consciousness, yet still chooses to treat it as an energy source to be consumed. Unlike the virus, the predator possesses a degree of behavioral control: wolves and lions do not drive their prey to extinction, because the continued existence of prey is a precondition for the predator's own energy supply. The logical expression of this bounded consumption strategy is: $1-1=0$ (the other is consumed in a single interaction). Its sustainability depends on the predator's instinctive regulation of the boundaries of consumption.

3.3 *Symbiosis: The Emergence of Mutual Cooperation*

The emergence of symbiotic strategy marks a fundamental transformation in the consciousness-to-consciousness relation. The other is now recognized as an equal consciousness,

and both parties meet their survival needs through cooperation rather than harm. The natural-selection logic is straightforward: consumptive strategies require a continuous expenditure of energy on conflict, while symbiotic strategy eliminates this cost, allowing both parties to redirect conserved energy toward survival and reproduction, thereby raising overall probability of survival. The logical expression is: $1+1=2$, with both consciousnesses preserved.

3.4 Conspecific Cooperation: The Emergence of Scale Effects

Cooperation among conspecifics not only achieves the preservation expressed as $1+1=2$, but through division of labor and scale effects generates superlinear returns: $1+1>2$. Complex social forms become possible, and the collective probability of survival along with the rate of evolution increase substantially. This is the result of the deepening of symbiotic strategy within a species.

3.5 The Human Case: Strategic Plurality and Systemic Risk

Human beings are the life form of highest known consciousness complexity, capable of deploying all of the above strategies simultaneously: treating the other as an equal consciousness in cooperation ($1+1>2$), treating the other as a consciousness subject to bounded consumption ($1-1=0$), and treating the other as a pure object for undifferentiated use (1 against 0). Through division of labor and cooperation, human beings came to dominate the Earth. Yet the obverse of this advantage is an inherent systemic risk: when consumptive strategies expand in scale beyond the threshold that symbiotic strategies can sustain, social structures face collapse and reset, with catastrophic loss of life and resources.

4. The Natural Definition of Morality

On the basis of the foregoing analysis, it is possible to give morality a natural definition that does not depend on human construction.

From the standpoint of natural selection among strategies, the zero-sum consumptive strategy ($1-1=0$) produces no net gain in total energy, and requires a continuous expenditure on conflict; it is therefore at a long-run competitive disadvantage. The symbiotic strategy ($1+1\geq 2$) simultaneously reduces conflict costs and achieves a net increase in total energy; it is the stable strategy toward which natural selection necessarily tends under survival pressure. Morality is the name that human language has given to this naturally selected outcome.

It bears emphasis that morality is not a human invention, nor a product of social norms. Mutual aid among plants and animals, and symbiosis across different species, are expressions of morality in its natural form. Human language has given this phenomenon a name, but the phenomenon itself predates human language, and its criterion of judgment is entirely independent of human cultural tradition and authority.

The natural definition of morality may accordingly be stated as follows: to treat the consciousness of another as an equal consciousness, and to manage the consciousness-to-consciousness relation through mutual symbiosis. Parity, understood as reciprocity, is the core of this definition, not a virtue established by human convention.

5. The Objective Definitions of Good and Evil

On the basis of the natural definition of morality developed above, good and evil can each be given independent positive definitions, without mutual dependence.

Good: To treat the consciousness of another as an equal consciousness, engaging with it through mutual symbiosis, and thereby promoting the survival surplus of both parties and of the whole ($1+1\geq 2$).

Evil: To reduce the consciousness of another to an object or resource, treating it through unilateral consumption or elimination, and thereby causing the diminishment or destruction of the other consciousness ($1-1=0$, or 1 against 0).

A defining characteristic of these definitions is their self-contained adjudicative power. Any behavior that pursues consumption or domination under the name of morality can be directly identified as evil by this framework, without recourse to cultural debate or authoritative arbitration. Throughout history, acts of loyal subjugation, the sacrifice of individuals to the collective, and wars waged in the name of the sacred have each reduced some consciousness to an object or instrument, and therefore constitute evil under this definition, regardless of the moral language in which they were clothed.

A further characteristic is the resistance of these definitions to corruption. Because the criterion of judgment is rooted in the physical logic of natural selection, rather than in the will of any human authority, it cannot be seized or replaced by political power, religious systems, or cultural tradition. The standard does not reside in human hands, and therefore does not change with the succession of human authority.

6. Conclusion

Beginning from the survival requirements of living beings, and proceeding through the origin and increasing complexity of consciousness, this paper has analyzed five natural strategies in the management of consciousness-to-consciousness relations, and derived objective definitions of morality, good, and evil. The principal conclusions are as follows.

- (1) Consciousness is the capacity for identification that life develops in order to survive; its essence is the distinction between self and non-self.
- (2) Morality is not a human construct; it is the stable symbiotic strategy selected for by natural selection in the management of consciousness-to-consciousness relations.
- (3) Good is behavior that promotes symbiotic surplus ($1+1\geq 2$); evil is behavior that reduces the other consciousness to an object and consumes it unilaterally ($1-1=0$).
- (4) The criterion of judgment embedded in these definitions precedes human language, is independent of any cultural authority, and therefore possesses an inherent resistance to corruption and a claim to universal validity.

The persistence throughout human history of practices carried out in the name of morality — enslavement, warfare, domination — is rooted in the fact that the authority to define morality

has long been held by human powers, allowing the boundary between good and evil to be moved at will. The framework proposed in this paper returns that authority to nature itself, thereby liberating the judgment of good and evil from the interference of human authority.